

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
8 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
9

10 GUARDIAN PROTECTION PRODUCTS, Case No. 1:20-cv-01680-SKO  
11 INC.,

12 Plaintiff/Counter-defendant,

13 v.

14 G.P.P., Inc.,

15 Defendant/Counterclaimant.  
16

**ORDER GRANTING G.P.P INC.'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO  
SHORTEN TIME AND SETTING  
BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON "MOTION  
TO EXTEND FACT DISCOVERY  
DEADLINE AND TO PERMIT  
DISCLOSURE UNDER THE  
PROTECTIVE ORDER"**

(Doc. 63)

17 Before the Court is Defendant/Counterclaimant G.P.P. Inc., d/b/a Guardian Innovative  
18 Solutions ("GIS")'s "Ex Parte Application to Shorten Time" (Doc. 63), which the Court construed  
19 as a motion for administrative relief under E.D. Cal. L.R. 233 (Doc. 64). After considering the  
20 motion and the opposition filed by Plaintiff/Counter-defendant Guardian Protection Products, Inc.  
21 ("Guardian") (Doc. 65)<sup>1</sup>, the Court finds that GIS has shown good cause for an order shortening  
22 time in view of the recently amended stipulated case schedule,<sup>2</sup> *see* E.D. Cal. Local Rule 144(e),  
23 and GRANTS the motion. Accordingly, the Court sets the following briefing schedule for GIS's  
24  
25

---

26 <sup>1</sup> Guardian's arguments in opposition to the administrative motion are directed predominantly to the merits GIS's  
27 underlying substantive motion (*see* Doc. 65 at 4–5) and are therefore beyond the scope of the Court's inquiry under  
Local Rules 144 and 233. Guardian will have the opportunity to raise these arguments pursuant to the briefing schedule  
set forth herein.

28 <sup>2</sup> The Court observes that the parties filed a stipulation to modify the case schedule, which the Court granted, two days  
before GIS filed the underlying motion seeking to further modify the schedule. (*See* Docs. 60 & 61.)

1 "Motion to Extend Fact Discovery Deadline and to Permit Disclosure under the Protective Order"  
2 (Doc. 62):

3 1. Guardian's opposition, or statement of non-opposition, is due by no later than October 30,  
4 2024; and  
5 2. GIS may file an optional reply by no later than November 4, 2024.

6 The Court will then take the matter under submission without oral argument pursuant to E.D.  
7 Cal. Local Rule 230(g).

8  
9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: October 23, 2024

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto  
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28